Hon'ble P.C. Pant, J.

Heard Sri Dinesh Gahatori, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for respondent no. 1 to 3 and Sri Pankaj Purohit, learned counsel for respondent no. 4.

By means of this writ petition the petitioner has sought quashing of the impugned order dated 03.08.2007, whereby the petitioner is transferred from Muni Ki Reti (Tehri) to Pipalkoti (Chamoli). Also, order dated 08.10.2007 is challenged, whereby the petitioner's representation has been rejected.

Petitioner is a Senior Assistant with Animal Husbandry Department. His transfer appears to have been made in public interest as is clear from the impugned order. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner stood allocated State of Uttar Pradesh and he should have been relieved for the State of Uttar Pradesh. It is further contended that he could not have been transferred from Muni Ki Reti to Pipalkoti. The argument of learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be misconceived. He could not show me any document by which he was allocated State of Uttar Pradesh by the Government of India. Rather ordinarily employees whose appointing authority is District Level Officer or Divisional Level Officer were allocated that particular State by the Government of India where they were working at the time of creation of new state. Sri Dinesh Gahatori, learned counsel for the petitioner further contended that similarly situated persons of District Haridwar were relieved for State of U.P.. Again I found the said submission misconceived one as the officials posted in Haridwar had their Divisional Officer in District Meerut. District Haridwar became part of Garhwal Division only after creation of State of Uttarakhand.

In the above circumstances, this writ petition has no force and the same is dismissed.

(P.C.P., J.)

Dt.25.10.2007
NS